

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,760	08/08/2006	Kiyoshi Kimura	294232US2PCT	2937
	10/588,760 08/08/2006 Kiyoshi Kimura	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET	PATEL, PARESH H			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/588,760	KIMURA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Paresh Patel	2829		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do consist of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the pro	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	<u>ugust 2006</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)🖾	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
)isposit	ion of Claims				
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)⊠	Claim(s) 7-17 is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
\pplicat	ion Papers				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
/\	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<del></del>		
	application from the International Bureau	•	· ·		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
	<b>₹</b>				
Attachmen	• •				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 08/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5)  Notice of Informal F  6)  Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/588,760 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 6-17 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: No prior art has been found that meets the limitations of claims 6-17 calling for an adaptor for inspection of circuit boards, comprising a wiring board, an anisotropically conductive elastomer sheet, and wherein the wiring board, on its first surface includes an insulator that has surface roughness of at most 0.2 µm on its first surface, as further defined at claims 6 and 7 respectively.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

## In the Abstract:

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology

often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

## Conclusion

- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-1968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,760

Art Unit: 2829

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ha Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Paresh Patel/

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2829

09/21/07

Page 4

September 21, 2007